UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SELECT DIVISION

| Case No. 3:23-61-76 JD |
|---|
| STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT |
| |
| |
| Aus of 14,2,023, the court excludes time under the Speedy and finds that the ends of justice served by the nd the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § es this continuance on the following factor(s): |
| e likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. |
| due to [check applicable reasons] the number of osecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact t adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). |
| eny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). |
| nreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given tments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| inreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| taking into account the public interest in the prompt a sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending an under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). |
| |
| Lisa J. Cisneros United States Magistrate Judge |
| ML |
| Assistant United States Attorney |
| |